ABSTRACT

Sexual violence is a widespread and common phenomenon in our society, which unfortunately often goes unreported or undetected: a hidden “iceberg” of great dimension. Different forms of rape, sexual abuse and blackmail exist, these can occur inside the family environment, externally or both (1). When one speaks of “types of violence” one refers to the different forms of violence: physical (ill-treatment), sexual (molestation, rape, exploitation), psychological (violation of oneself), economical (denying access to the economic resources of the family, even if earned by the victim) (2, 3).

The 2006 ISTAT Survey, commissioned by the Ministry of Equal Rights and Opportunities (4, 5), has thrown light on some disturbing numerical data: from a sample of 25,000 women ranging in age from 16-70 years, 6,743,000 were victims of physical or sexual violence (31.9%); 5 million were victims of sexual violence (23.7%); 3,961,000 were victims of physical violence (18.8%); 6,092,000 were victims of psychological violence from their current partner (36.9%); 1,100,000 were victims of ‘stalking’, that is they had been constantly followed or harassed.

In 2006 there were 74 thousand reported cases of rape or attempted rape, 69.7% of these offences had been committed by the victims’ partner or ex-partner. Abuse at home is very common place but often these acts are not perceived as abuse. Only 18.2% of victims are aware that the abuse they have endured are criminal offences, while 44% simply judge the abuse as ‘something wrong’ and 36% as ‘something that happened’.

The proportion of episodes of violence which are reported is low (12.4%). The proportion increases when women have contact with Accident and Emergency (62.3%), Police, lawyers, and magistrates (47.6%) and doctors or nurses (35.9%).

Based on this epidemiological data, it was decided to conduct an investigation in the Accident and Emergency units (A&Es) and the Departments of Emergency and Admissions (DEAs) in the Lazio Region. The aim of the investigation was to: evaluate health workers true understanding of the “problem of violence”; their human and professional capacity to manage it; their capacity to create and promote sensitisation and training programmes for professionals working in the field; and the ability to design and implement protocols and procedures to be utilized when managing victims of violence by a questionnaire compiled and distributed to health professionals working in the critical areas (A&E and DEA) in the region Lazio.
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Introduction

Sexual violence is a widespread and common phenomenon in our society, which unfortunately often goes unreported or undetected: a hidden “iceberg” of great dimension. Different forms of rape, sexual abuse and blackmail exist, these can occur inside the family environment, externally or both (1). When one speaks of “types of violence” one refers to the different forms of violence: physical (ill-treatment), sexual (molestation, rape, exploitation), psychological (violation of oneself), economical (denying access to the economic resources of the family, even if earned by the victim) (2, 3).

The 2006 ISTAT Survey, commissioned by the Ministry of Equal Rights and Opportunities (4, 5), has thrown light on some disturbing numerical data: from a sample of 25,000 women ranging in age from 16-70 years, 6,743,000 were victims of physical or sexual violence (31.9%); 5 million were victims of sexual violence (23.7%); 3,961,000 were victims of physical violence (18.8%); 6,092,000 were victims of psychological violence from their current partner (36.9%); 1,100,000 were victims of ‘stalking’, that is they had been constantly followed or harassed.

In 2006 there were 74 thousand reported cases of rape or attempted rape, 69.7% of these offences had been committed by the victims’ partner or ex-partner. Abuse at home is very common place but often these acts are not perceived as abuse. Only 18.2% of victims are aware that the abuse they have endured are criminal offences, while 44% simply judge the abuse as ‘something wrong’ and 36% as ‘something that happened’.

The proportion of episodes of violence which are reported is low (12.4%). The proportion increases when women have contact with Accident and Emergency (62.3%), Police, lawyers, and magistrates (47.6%) and doctors or nurses (35.9%).

Based on this epidemiological data, it was decided to conduct an investigation in the Accident and Emergency units (A&Es) and the Departments of Emergency and Admissions (DEAs) in the Lazio Region. The aim of the investigation was to: evaluate health workers true understanding of the “problem of violence”; their human and professional capacity to manage it; their capacity to create and promote sensitisation and training programmes for professionals working in the field; and the ability to design and implement protocols and procedures to be utilized when managing victims of violence by a questionnaire compiled and distributed to health professionals working in the critical areas (A&E and DEA) in the region Lazio.
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Materials and Methodology

A questionnaire was compiled and distributed to health professionals working in the critical areas (A&E and DEA). The questionnaire was divided into six distinct sections, each containing questions designed to illicit data regarding particular aspects.

The first section evaluated the subject population of the study by identifying: professional role - doctor or nurse, gender (male or female), age in years, number of years of experience working within the critical areas, the professional department they belonged to i.e. Accident & Emergency, Department of Emergency and Admissions or other.

The second section evaluated health workers understanding of the problem of ‘violence’ by using the ‘triage colour code’ system. This has been in use in all A&Es and DEAs in the Lazio Region since 2008 (6), implemented following a training programme delivered by experts and the Public Health Agency. Thanks to the single triage model and a unique regional database system (GIPSE) used in all A&Es and DEAs it is possible to evaluate the competency of health workers through their attribution of the triage colour code system.

The third section evaluates the health workers level of understanding of the medical-legal aspects associated with the problem of ‘violence’ by utilising the indicator “referred to the judicial authorities (JA)”, taking into account that the law requires “… an obligatory medical report to be made to the judicial authorities to include contributions from all health professionals who have assessed or treated the referent”.

The fourth section evaluates how the organization perceives and manages the problem “violence”, whether there is a management model in place for victims of violence and if so whether it is general knowledge to all the health workers in the structure. This evaluation is conducted using the indicator “presence/absence of management protocol for victims of abuse”.

The fifth section evaluates the specific “violence” training for health workers through the indicator “attendance/non-attendance at specific related training”.

The sixth and final section evaluates the sensibility of health workers towards dealing with this area through the indicator “proposal to improve/correct”.

The questionnaire was distributed by colleagues doctors from Head Office SIMEU Lazio of different hospitals to 330 health professionals working in the critical areas, included doctors, nurses and midwives.

Results

Eleven structures out of a possible eighteen (61%) agreed to participate in the survey (2 A&E units, 7 DEAs level I and 2 DEAs level II) four of these were situated in the provinces of Rome and the remainder in the city itself. A total of 330 questionnaires were distributed, of these 293 (89%) were compiled and returned. The subject sample who participated in the survey included doctors,
Sensitisation and training to be constant and continuous for the management of violence are managed; those received included: only 25.5% suggested an adequate training in the field of "violence", whilst 53.9% believed they had an adequate psychological attitude to receive victims; unfortunately, however, only 25.5% suggested or proposed alternatives or improvements to the way victims of violence are managed, those received included:

- Reception of victims of violence by health workers in A&Es to maximise trust.

The request for better information, also from hospitals where specific protocols have been put in place, where often the implementation of the protocol is inhibited by poorly trained health workers or the complete absence of dedicated space.

**Discussion**

From analysing the data gained from the questionnaire it emerged that medical and nursing staff who work in A&Es and DEAs in the Lazio Region, even though middle-aged and with good relevant work experience, lack the technical, professional and relationship training to successfully manage victims of violence. This scarcity in training together with a work context where too often protocols for the management of victims of violence are inexistent - protocols which should be foreordained, shared and implemented by the senior managers and chief physicians of the structures. This situation is unfortunately widespread within A&Es and DEAs both within the city of Rome and its provinces. Furthermore, in spite of the methodology of the LTM, designed to protect possible and probable victims of violence, through the award of a code red or yellow to guarantee priority of treatment and cure, regardless if they are overt or suspected victims of violence and independent of whether their vital functions have been compromised, the triage nurses tend to underestimate these patients – proof of an enormous scarcity in sensibility and attention to duty from the health workers themselves and the health authorities more generally.

As regards possible limitations of the study and source of errors the authors think that the possible bias could be searched in the number of questionnaires distributed which are not known; certainly they were much more that those collected. But this is not so important for the finality of the study because even if the number of collected questionnaires is fewer, they have demonstrated:

1) the absolutely absence of protocols for the management of victims of violence; 
2) where there is a protocol is unknown from medical and nursing staff who work in A&Es and DEAs; 
3) the absence of uniformity about the colour of triage, even if there is a regional sanitary educational and training project about triage from years.

**Conclusions**

In the view of the authors, which is supported by national and international experience reported in research literature (8-10), this situation could change through the constitution of a group of experts, doctors and nurses, working in the field of emergency treatment in
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the Lazio Region, committed to create, in collaboration with the relevant institutions and in particular the Public Health Agency:

a) a unique protocol for the management of victims of abuse to be implemented in all the Regions A&Es and DEAs (both level I and II);

b) a training course, in collaboration with Voluntary Organisations and experts in the field, for all staff working in critical areas with periodical re-training;

c) a register of victims (data collection for active monitoring and quality control);

d) a network of assistance for victims after discharge from hospital to be organised in collaboration with anti-violence centres in Roma and its Provinces.

In addition the authors hope that with this project it will be possible to develop hearth workers’ skills and ability to: identify, receive, listen to and initiate a helping relationship to respond to clinical and care needs of women who are victims of violence. In other words it is hoped that in the context of a dedicated diagnosis, care and treatment, violence becomes “a problem of Public Health, to be tackled with an interdisciplinary and scientific approach, that includes and integrates: medicine, epidemiology, sociology, psychology, criminology, education and economy” (11), a guarantee of ethics, humanity and professionalism.
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