The controversy on chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency
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Abstract

The objective of this review is to analyze the actual scientific controversy on chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency (CCSVI) and its association with both neurodegenerative disorders and multiple sclerosis (MS). We revised all published studies on prevalence of CCSVI in MS patients, including ultrasound and catheter venography series. Furthermore, we take into consideration other publications dealing with the pathophysiologic consequences of CCSVI in the brain, as well as ecent data characterizing the pathology of the venous wall in course of CCSVI. Finally, safety and pilot data on effectiveness of endovascular CCSVI treatment were further updated.

Studies of prevalence show a big variability in prevalence of CCSVI in MS patients assessed by established ultrasonographic criteria. This could be related to high operator dependency of ultrasound. However, 12 studies, by the means of more objective catheter venography, show a prevalence >90% of CCSVI in MS. Global hypoperfusion of the brain, and reduced cerebral spinal fluid dynamics in MS was shown to be related to CCSVI. Postmortem studies and histology corroborate the 2009 International Union of Phlebology (IUP) Consensus decision to insert CCSVI among venous malformations. Finally, safety of balloon angioplasty of the extracranial veins was certainly demonstrated, while prospective data on the potential effectiveness of endovascular treatment of CCSVI support to increase the level of evidence by proceeding with a randomized control trial (RCT).

Taking into account the current epidemiological data, including studies on catheter venography, the autopic findings, and the relationship between CCSVI and both hypoperfusion and cerebrospinal fluid flow, we conclude that CCSVI can be definitively inserted among the medical entities. Research is still inconclusive in elucidating the CCSVI role in the pathogenesis of neurological disorders. The controversy between the vascular and the neurological community is due to the great variability in prevalence of CCSVI in MS patients by the means of venous ultrasound assessment. More reproducible and objective CCSVI assessment is warranted. Finally, current RCT may elucidate the role of CCSVI endovascular treatment.

The controversial problem of chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency in multiple sclerosis

Chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency (CCSVI) is a syndrome characterized by stenosis or obstructions of the internal jugular (IJV) and/or aygous (AZ) veins with disturbed flow and formation of collateral venous channels. Venous narrowings are primary obstructions, mainly related to segmental hypoplasia or, more frequently, to intraluminal defects like webs, fixed valve leaflets, membrane, inverted valve orientation, etc.5-7

Venous anomalies are a field in which experts still have to agree upon many things. The basis and foundation of venous anomalies are not entirely clear yet. Venous lesions are described as truncular venous malformations.6-8 Developmental arrest in advanced stages of vascular trunk formation during fetal life can result in such truncular venous malformations. Lesions caused by incomplete development of axial veins result in aplasia, hypoplasia, or hyperplasia of the vessel or as a defective vessel with obstruction from intraluminal lesions (e.g., vein web, malformed valve, or septum) or dilatation (e.g., jugular vein ectasia/aneurysm). Radiological studies of healthy subjects did not demonstrate these types of lesions, while CCSVI-like lesions were described associated to myelopathies.19,20 Despite the above and other scientific evidences,21,22 in clinical practice, due to the inherent variability of the cerebral venous system and the lack of standards, it is difficult to accurately detect CCSVI using current magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and echo-color Doppler (ECD) sonography techniques, as well as its possible association with neurodegenerative disorders such as MS-something that has generated considerable scientific controversy. There are a lot of opinion papers, and some original contributions, pointing against the existence and the association of CCSVI in MS.25-28

The core of the controversy: the ultrasonographic prevalence of chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency in multiple sclerosis

The neurological community did not accept from the beginning, the intrusion of the vascular procedure for CCSVI in MS treatment. The harshest were Khan et al.26 with a statement that endovascular procedures in MS were research endeavors, and that these invasive endovascular procedures should be discouraged until there is conclusive evidence to justify their indication in MS. A Canadian group27 comments on Call for liberation in Edmonton and the mobilizing power of the media and the Internet. Because of the pressure from MS groups the Canadian Institutes of Health Research with MS Society held an expert panel in August 2009, which concluded that in absence of clear and convincing evidence for CCSVI, the performance of an interventional venous angioplasty trial with its attendant risk to MS patients is not appropriate at this time. The authors also27 stated that more effort needs to be devoted to improving scientific literacy of the public, politicians and the media, in order to prevent an diverting public resources to testing what will probably turn out to be ineffective or harmful therapies. Rikers et al.28 state that recent randomized trials did not show a difference in the prevalence of venous stenosis between groups of patients with or without MS, comparing the studies of Doepp and other Authors.29,31

In an everyday growing field of studies and papers trying to demonstrate either positive or negative association of CCSVI with MS we will discuss the results of studies published so far. Ultrasound in the form of duplex scanning uses a combination of physiological measurements as well as anatomical imaging and has been used for the detection of CCSVI by differ-
ent centers with variable results. Ultrasound is, of course, an ideal screening tool because it is non-invasive, economic, etc. However, these studies show very variable results, which we aim to comment. We were able to observe interesting grouping of results into two main groups; those with a CCSVI prevalence higher than 60%, from 60%-100%1,2,3,5-9 and those with absence of such lesions,2,9,10 or CCSVI prevalence under 60%11-13 (Table 1). This variability could be the result of differences in technique, training, experience or criteria used.49 For future avoidance of such variable results, and in order to ensure a high reproducibility of duplex scanning with comparable accuracy between centers, all investigators are invited to follow the protocol with standard methodology and criteria.49 Moreover, a recent meta-analysis done by Laupacis et al.41 showed a positive association between CCSVI and MS. The group performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of all reports from 2005 till June 2011, comparing the frequency of CCSVI and MS. Their findings proved a significant association between CCSVI and MS even after exclusion of the first study by Zamboni, due to the fact that it, being the first study, may be considered hypothesis-generating and because of the extremely high Odds Ratio found in the study. The meta-analysis was repeated after inclusion of Doepp’s study,31 in which none of the patients or controls had CCSVI, but the findings were similar to those in the primary analysis. The group concluded a strong association between CCSVI and MS with marked heterogeneity due to reduced reporting of patient blinding.

**Negative studies showing traces of venous abnormalities**

Doepp et al. reported no CCSVI in MS patients,39 but their results did show a significant reduction of venous outflow in MS patients when their position changed from supine to upright, which points towards a disturbed venous outflow. One of the major regulators of cerebral venous outflow is the posture, due to the gravitational gradient between the cerebral parenchymal veins (30 mmHg) and the base of the neck (0 mmHg). Doepp et al.39 demonstrate a much larger change in blood flow volume in normals compared to MS patients when the subjects go from a supine to upright position. They find a change of 128 mL/min and 56 mL/min for the right and left sides respectively for MS patients. But they find a much larger change of 266 mL/min and 165 mL/min for their normal subjects. This result actually suggests the presence of CCSVI proven with a different protocol. The causes of reduced outflow changing posture to upright can be from intraluminal septum, membrane, immobile valve affecting the hydrostatic pressure gradient.42 However, high quality Doppler flow measurement at the terminal IJV shows a restricted outflow in CCSVI with increased flow though the collaterals respect to controls.42 The presence of such blockages in the extracranial and extratrestrial cerebral veins have been proven by using catheter venography, a more objective method respect to ECD.143-48 More interestingly, Diacou et al. communicated to European Committee for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis (ECTRIMS) the results of a *post-mortem* study clearly showing a highest prevalence of jugular septimentation with possible hemodynamic consequences in MS patients in respect to controls.21 This result is confirmed by another autopic study.22 Baracchini et al. reported 16% of CCSVI in MS patients at disease onset, compared to 2% of CCSVI in healthy controls.31 This finding suggests that CCSVI represents a nine times higher risk factor for disease onset, showing increased susceptibility to MS in CCSVI subjects. Zavidnov et al. recently reported CCSVI more likely to be a secondary phenomenon to MS. Their results showed that CCSVI was found in 50% of pediatric MS cases as well as in 38% of Clinically Isolated Syndrome cases, thus making the conclusion rash.34 A well-established explanation for this great variability in CCSVI prevalence among different groups of investigators is the amount of training and experience investigators have in echo-color Doppler imaging. Studies have shown that inter-operator variability decreases post-training (from k=0.47 to k=0.80) while intra-operator reproducibility in trained operators was k=0.75. Apart from experience and training, ultrasound imaging still remains an operator-dependent investigation. Studies that have been done so far show great variability because of operator dependency, lack of proper training in performing venous ultrasound, and differences in protocols used. However, despite all these obstacles, in more than 2000 investigated subjects, the prevalence of CCSVI was more than 70% in MS patients compared to prevalence of about 10% in healthy controls (Table 1). Studies claiming to be in opposition to CCSVI still show different elements of abnormality of venous outflow in MS patients compared to their healthy controls. Reproducibility can be assured by performing the investigation by an accepted protocol after training the investigator. To minimize errors and variability in study results, The International Society for Neurovascular Diseases published a protocol deriving from a Consensus Conference.49

**Pathology is necessary to establish a new medical entity**

The morphology seen at venography and ultrasound investigations of the CCSVI picture was considered in the 2009 UIP Consensus quite similar to those affecting other segments of the caval system, supporting the decision to insert CCSVI among truncular venous malformation.57 Autopic studies and histology actually corroborate the decision of the Consensus. The presence of wall stenosis, or of a greater prevalence of intraluminal defects in specimen of patients died with MS respect to patients without the disease has been recently described by pathologists.53 In addition, a molecular marker has been identified in the adventitial layer of IJV in CCVS regulation where there is an inverted ratio between type I and type III collagen. The latter component, less extensible, is greatly represented con-

---

**Table 1. Prevalence of chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency in patients with multiple sclerosis and healthy controls in the main published study.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (ref)</th>
<th>MS patients</th>
<th>CCSVI</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>CCSVI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zamboni et al., 2009</td>
<td>65 (100%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zavidnov et al., 2011</td>
<td>162 (56.1%)</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>374 (22.7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doepp et al., 2011</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayer et al., 2011</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baracchini et al., 2011</td>
<td>8 (16%)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Omari et al., 2010</td>
<td>21 (34%)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinka et al., 2010</td>
<td>64 (91%)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastianello et al., 2011</td>
<td>610 (86%)</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mader et al., 2011</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zavidnov et al., 2011</td>
<td>10 (100%)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MS, multiple sclerosis; CCSVI, chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency.
Chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency and brain pathophysiology

There are 2 proven pathophysiologic consequences of the presence of significant narrowing in the extracranial veins. The significance of blocked outflow has been proposed to be scored with the Venous Hemodynamic Insufficiency Severity Score (VHISS). Subjects with CCSVI showed higher frequency of venous reflux, blocked flow, B-mode abnormalities, and reduced IJV compliance which led to increased VHISS. The latter index was used to investigate the relationship with both CSF flow dynamics and brain perfusion, both assessed with advanced and non-conventional MRI measure.

The cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) is formed in lateral ventricles and mainly flows through brain's ventricular system, over and around cerebral hemispheres, and is absorbed by arachnoid villi into the superior sagittal sinus, connected via the transverse sinus with the jugulars. Normal circulation of the CSF desires an optimal balance between ultrafiltration of CSF and its clearance from CSF spaces into the venous system at the level of dural sinuses, which depends mainly on efficient venous drainage. In 2009 Zamboni et al. performed a blinded MR study which demonstrated venous outflow disturbance in MS patients. The study showed that impaired CSF dynamics may be a factor contributing to the increased volumes in 3r and lateral ventricles, which was frequently observed in MS patients. This study demonstrated that CCSVI has a significant impact on brain pathophysiology, especially on intracranial fluid balance. Moreover, Zivadinov et al. demonstrated the correctness of the correlation between venous outflow and CSF flow dynamics measuring the change in CSF flow and velocity after venous angioplasty in a randomized group of patients. At month six from the treatment, significant improvement in CSF flow (P<0.001) and velocity (P=0.013) was detected in the treated arm compared to the no treatment group. This difference persisted at month 12 of the study for both CSF flow (P=0.001) and velocity (P=0.021) measures between the 2 groups. Cerebral perfusion is

Catheter venography, eventually combined with intravascular sonography, is actually still considered the gold standard for CCSVI assessment. They are of course invasive, and we need to develop a multimodality approach in order to use venous catheter only if a treatment should be planned. It seems necessary to perform a blinded study which also includes catheter venography performed in a group of volunteers, in order to establish radiological normality. However, studies performed along the 60's 70's on healthy subjects did not demonstrate these types of lesions. To the contrary, catheter venography studies strongly supports the presence of CCSVI in MS because in 12 studies coming from 8 different Countries the prevalence is always more than 90%. (Figure 1).
always measured as diffusely impaired in MS patients. This aspect of MS is related to the aspect of chronic hypoxia linked with increased oxidative stress and cannot be explained, of course, with the autoimmune theory. The hypothesis that CCSVI could be a contributory factor to cerebral hyperperfusion was further investigated in a blinded MRI study. Hypoperfusion of the brain parenchyma was measured to be proportionally decreased in MS patients with higher VHISS, demonstrating how the blocked outflow in the jugular veins is related to brain perfusion and oxygen delivery.

**Chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency and interventional procedures**

A second reason of the controversy is the opposition to perform balloon angioplasty (PTA) of the jugulars and AZ system, for treating CCSVI especially in MS patients. Despite the endovascular procedure was considered in an opinion paper published in a major journal of clinical neurology a dangerous procedure, PTA can be definitely considered a safe procedure, whereas for stenting level of risk is slightly increased.

Moreover, from 2009 the effectiveness of PTA in eventually improving the results of current medical therapy of CCSVI was assessed with prospective open label design. Clinical and quality of life (QoL) improvements are reported in a number of prospective and case control studies following interventional procedures. Particularly, chronic fatigue, a disabling symptom of MS without any effective treatment is reported to improve practically in any interventional study, as well as QoL, assessed with validated questionnaires. Physical performance seems also to improve when the procedure is attempted in early cases and/or in relapsing remitting clinical form respect to long time disease and progressive forms. The results are quite interesting and warrant an increased level of evidence to esclude that results should be biased by the placebo effect. To this aim a double blinded randomized trial is actually in course.

**Conclusions**

The controversy in the CCSVI issue is strongly linked with the ultrasonographic screening which is highly operator dependent leading to a big heterogeneity in prevalence studies. However, catheter venography data, despite the invasiveness of the diagnostic procedures, clearly indicates an amazing rate of CCSVI in people affected by MS. Pathology, either gross anatomy or histology, supports that CCSVI is a new medical entity, needing of further improvement in the diagnostic methodology. This is the only way to decrease the actual controversy. Finally, the two main consequences in brain pathophysiology linked with CCSVI are respectively the reduction of CSF flow dynamics and of brain perfusion. The vascular consequences of CCSVI at the microcirculatory level may help us in interpreting several unknown aspect of MS, and especially those at the blood brain barrier.

Finally, all the above evidences support to move to a randomized control trial in order to assess the value of vascular treatment of CCSVI in neurodegeneration.
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