Multiple ligation of the proximal greater saphenous vein in the CHIVA treatment of primary varicose veins
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Abstract

Saphenous femoral disconnection is the key point of most surgical techniques in the treatment of primary varicose vein surgery. The aim of this study is to compare and analyze different techniques for conservative saphenous-femoral ligation or disconnection. These techniques can be to perform mini invasive open surgery and are suitable for implementation of the conservative hemodynamic correction of venous insufficiency (CHIVA) method. The aim was to present the follow-up by retrospective analysis of three different ligation-disconnection techniques of the proximal great saphenous vein (GSV) according to the CHIVA method at the GSV end, i.e. between the very end of the GSV and the first arch tributary, according to the CHIVA method. The first technique consisted of a surgical division (crosotomy). The other two consisted of triple superposed ligation with No. 2 non-absorbable braided coated suture without division labeled TSFL (triple saphenous flush ligation) and No. 0 polypropylene ligation TPL (triple polypropylene ligation). The difference between TSFL and TPL was in the thickness and type of material of the thread, though both were non-absorbable. The follow up of 56 TPL procedures, 61 crosotomy procedures, and 82 TSFL procedures was analysed. The follow up consisted of checking the sapheno-femoral junction occlusion with Duplex color ultrasound. The incidence rates of neovascularization (new vessels in the ligation or surgical disconnection site with saphenous-femoral reflux during the Valsalva maneuver) were: 4.9% for the crosotomy group, 6.1% for the TSFL group and 37.5% for the TPL group. The data analysed show satisfactory results with both crosotomy and TSFL. Crosotomy has proven to be an effective technique for performing saphenous-femoral disconnection, but TSFL could also be a reliable, safe and low-cost varicose mini-invasive surgery in outpatients. TPL appeared to be less reliable.

Introduction

The sapheno-femoral junction (SFJ) is a key point for the venous drainage of the lower limb from the foot up to the hip and gluteus, the lower abdominal wall and lower genital tract. Moreover, the disconnection of the incompetent SFJ is a fundamental procedure to most open superficial venous surgery.1-5 Unfortunately, most varicose recurrences are due to SFJ neovascularization (recurrences) observed in 25% to 94% of recurrent varicose veins.6 Conservative saphenous-femoral disconnection is a very common surgical practice according to the conservative hemodynamic correction of venous insufficiency (CHIVA) method.7-12 For more than a decade we have tested a technique that requires a division of the SFJ and others that require only peculiar ligatures without division of the incompetent saphenous femoral tract. The simplicity of the procedure, the safety of the maneuver, and the effectiveness of the treatment have been our goals.

Materials and Methods

SFJ disconnections and ligations were performed using three different techniques in patients affected by SFJ reflux through the terminal and also subterminal valves responsible for clinical disorders. All the varicose patients were assessed by Duplex ultrasound (US), where the SFJ reflux was checked with the Valsalva maneuver and Paranà maneuvers with doppler sample on the femoral side of the terminal valve, and SFJ were skin marked using the Duplex US Scan probe (12 MHz probe). The techniques were performed in outpatients, under local anesthesia and by the same surgeon. The surgeon and other co-authors did the follow-up checking using the same color doppler ultrasound equipment: in particular, neovascularization was detected at the disconnection-ligation site using the color fuction during the Valsalva maneuver. The Valsalva maneuver was performed by having the patients to blow into a straw that was closed at one end: Cremona maneuver.13 In all the cases the Valsalva maneuver was performed after properly emptying of the deep venous system through the performance of a Parana maneuver with the aim to prevent false negatives caused by the presence of a full deep venous system. Parana is a gravitational test performed on a patient standing in front of the examiner who pushes the patient’s back off balance, either backward (posterior Parana maneuver) or forward (anterior Parana maneuver). In order to maintain balance there is an isometric reactive contraction of the muscular groups that activates venous circulation by emptying the deep venous system. All the SFJs were studied in order to rule out both a Valsalva of pelvic origin and one deriving from a lateral crural perforator.

Surgical procedure

The saphenous-femoral disconnection-ligation was performed between the SFJ and the first arch tributary, preserving both the arch tributaries and the great saphenous vein (GSV) trunk (Figure 1), while the refluxing tributaries were divided at their trunk connection. A titanium clip (10 mm long and 1 mm thick) was placed flush with the femoral vein in all cases of the three groups in order to prevent the presence of a residual saphenous stump. The GSV ligation was performed with a No. 2 non-absorbable braided coated suture in the triple saphenous flush ligation (TSFL) group, and with No. 0 polypropylene ligation in triple polypropylene ligation (TPL) group. The SFJ is exposed thanks to a previous suspension on silicone loops of the superficial epigastric vein, the pudendal vein and the great saphenous vein. The first GSV ligation is performed in a layer close to tributary outflow into the SFJ, the second one as close as possible to the femoral vein, and the third one in an intermediate position. The disconnection is called crosotomy when it consists of a division. It is called selective ligature when no interrution is performed. In case of crosotomy the fossa ovalis was closed with a polypropylene suture.

Subjects and interventions

The number of interventions and patients during follow-up visits are reported in Table 1. The follow-up period was longer for crosotomy than the other two groups; in particular, in the TPL group the follow-up was always ≤12 months.
months. Crossootomy is the technique that was adopted and has been commonly used since 2003, while the TSFL experience began in 2007. Since 2007 the number of crossootomy procedures has therefore been reduced and this is the reason why crossootomy procedures usually require a longer follow-up.

**Statistical analysis**

Normally distributed variables were reported as mean; otherwise, as median (interquartile range). Differences among groups for continuous variables were assessed by means of ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test or the Kruskal-Wallis test followed by the Bonferroni post-hoc test. Differences in categorical variables were assessed by means of the chi-square test using the Bonferroni correction. Survival was considered as follows: i) the event was neovascularization for the crossootomy group, considered the control group; ii) the event was asymptomatic or symptomatic recanalization during the follow-up period. Kaplan-Meier survival curve was calculated for the survival data followed by log-rank test with the Bonferroni correction which was calculated for the survival data to assess the differences among groups. An adjusted model for age and gender was further calculated using the Cox regression. All the models were repeated with and without bilateral surgery, when in the first case unilateral surgery; all the surgeries were considered as independent measures. P=0.05 was the significance value. All the statistical tests were performed with SPSS 20 (IBM Corp., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

Four hundred nine TSFL procedures where performed from January 2007 to January 2011. From January to May 2011, 82 procedures were controlled. The follow-up checks at six months and longer were only possible in 71 patients because some of the patients didn’t want to undergo an examination, saying on the phone, that they were satisfied with the operation outcome and didn’t feel that a follow-up was necessary. Five recurrences due to recanalization of the ligatures with saphenous-femoral reflux were detected at the procedure site in three patients (two bilateral, one unilateral). The reflux during the Valasalva test was limited to the SFJ in one case, it reached the GSV right below the preterminal valve in one case, in another case one it reached the proximal third of the thigh, and in another two cases was at mid-thigh level. Both patients who had had a bilateral procedure showed a reflux starting eight months after the operation and the follow-up was at 35 and 33 months for the male and at 31 to 26 months for the female. One patient who had had unilateral procedure with saphenous-femoral reflux recurrence detected at 14 months after surgery didn’t answer any further follow-up because he was satisfied with the clinical result. Whereas the two other patients were satisfied with the operation despite both showing a bilateral saphenous-femoral reflux at the thigh: in one case at the preterminal valve, and in three cases at the middle of the thigh. Total recurrences amounted to 5/82=6.1% of all cases treated. The four cases of reflux below the pre-terminal valve represented 4.8% out of the 82 TSFL procedures. The rate of TSFL recanalizations was 4.8% below the pre-terminal valve. The Valasalva reflux flew clearly below the preterminal valve only in three cases. Therefore the percentage of cases in which the reflux reached the proximal third and mid-thigh went down to 3.6%. All the patients were pleased with the clinical results and didn’t show any visible recurrence of varicose veins.

Fifty-three patients who had crossootomy procedures were followed up with from January 2008 to December 2010. The average follow-up period was 29 months. Saphenous-femoral reflux due to neovascularization (no residual stump was detected on the femoral side of the crossootomy) was identified thanks to the Valasalva maneuver in one patient at the SFJ above the preterminal valve (this means the SFJ that remains after the section for the crossootomy procedure) and at proximal third of the thigh in two cases. The prevalence of neovascularization at 12 months was 0% and only three interventions showed neovascularization at 19 and two at 30 months; cumulative incidence 3/61=4.9%. No varicose veins recurrence was visible and all the patients were asymptomatic.

Fifty-five patients (37 female, 18 male), had triple prolene ligation procedures (2004-2005). Forty-nine of them had a follow-up check one year after the operation; 21 showed recanalization with saphenous-femoral reflux; 14 were asymptomatic with moderate Valasalva reflux at the upper third of the thigh and seven were symptomatic with Valsalva reflux down to the lower leg. All recurrences occurred between the 3rd and 6th month after surgery. Re-operation (crossootomy) under local anaesthesia and with a minimal surgical trauma, was performed in one case. The surgical dissection showed new vessels around the polypropylene thread. The cumulative incidence of recanalization was 21/56=37.5% at one year. Looking at the differences among groups (Table 1), age was not significant for neither the unilateral or the bilateral patients. In the case of the bilateral patients, the age at both interventions and that at the first intervention gave no different results. The percentage of females was higher in the crossootomy group, but it did not reach significance looking at multiple comparison. However, age and gender were further considered as factors that could affect the efficacy of this method.

The incidence rates were: 0.0017 event/month/patient for the crossootomy group, 0.0037 event/month/patient for the TSFL group and 0.040 event/month/patient for the TPL group.

Looking at survival curves (Figure 2), both the crossootomy and TSFL groups were signifi-

**Table 1. Characteristics of the patients.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Crossootomy</th>
<th>TSFL</th>
<th>TPL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>53 M/54 U, 8 B</td>
<td>71 M/90 U, 11 B</td>
<td>55 M/54 U, 1 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>57.8 (12.3)</td>
<td>58.3 (12.3)</td>
<td>62.9 (12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>58.6 (12.6)</td>
<td>58.2 (12.4)</td>
<td>63.0 (12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up time (months)</td>
<td>29.0 (18.5-34.0)</td>
<td>14.0 (12.0-20.0)</td>
<td>12.0 (10.0-12.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Cox regression results, using crossootomy as control group (0).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSFL(1)</td>
<td>1.376</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>3.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPL(2)</td>
<td>4.306</td>
<td>1.026</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>74.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>1.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-0.430</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.651</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B, variable coefficient in the Cox regression; SE, standard error; Sig, significance; Exp(B), exponential of B. Exp(B) is the ratio of the hazards between two individuals whose values of a variable differ by one unit when all other covariates are held constant. It can be interpreted as a relative risk; CI, confidence interval; TSFL, triple saphenous flush ligation; TPL, triple polypropylene ligation.
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curves show a clear difference of the crossotomy group was the control). Gender and age were considered or in the case of bilateral interventions only the first one was taken (not shown). The same model was weighted for gender and age, and the results of the Cox regression model are reported in Table 2 (crossotomy group was the control). Gender and age were not significant, and the results substantially confirmed those of the unweighted model.

**Discussion**

The conservative saphenous-femoral disconnection is performed, according to the CHIVA strategy, in order to preserve the drainage of the collateral veins and also to disconnect a closed shunt. The drainage of the collateral veins of the SFJ is preserved in order to prevent defects of flow in these veins, that can trigger recurrences do to neovascularization.

The CHIVA strategy focuses on the treatment of the shunt escape point in order to eliminate shunt pressure. A closed shunt is a recirculation with pressure overload caused by a district skip. The reflux arises from an escape point (N1-N2), flows into superficial veins and returns into deep veins through a re-entry point again reaching again the escape point.

The objective of this trial was to assess the durability of SFJ closure. This trial is not a randomized controlled trial but groups are homogeneous, there being only one surgeon and three Duplex scan operators. The survival curves show a clear difference of the crossotomy and TSFL groups and the TPL group, but also show that the difference was not significant between them (P=0.08) if we consider all the surgical interventions as independent. Results did not change when only unilateral interventions were considered or in the case of bilateral interventions only the first one was taken (not shown). The same model was weighted for gender and age, and the results of the Cox regression model are reported in Table 2 (crossotomy group was the control). Gender and age were not significant, and the results substantially confirmed those of the unweighted model.

However, the difference between TPL and TSFL, both techniques of SFJ disconnection without division, is evident. The analysis of TPL follow up suggests us that the polypropylene thread used, even if of size 0, may cause a necrosis with a section of the vein wall. The formation of neovascularization around the ligations may be a consequence. This conclusion has been confirmed by the evidence we saw in the three patients that underwent re-do surgery. For this reason we have decided to use a less elastic overcoated thread of larger size especially in overweight patients. The efficacy of the TSFL technique could be considered equal to that of crossotomy. The practical impact of TSFL is to provide a safe, reliable and durable mini-invasive open surgery for sapheno-femoral disconnection in outpatients, while TPL ligation is not reliable.

Saphenous-femoral recanalizations were asymptomatic in both TSFL and crossotomy groups, thanks to the draining effect of the spared saphena trunk, and consequently thanks to the quality of the re-entry point. Both crossotomy and TSFL showed no surgical complications. TSFL is easier and quicker than crossotomy because of the absence of vessel division. On the basis of what has been observed, both crossotomy and TSFL are suitable techniques for eliminating femoral-saphenous reflux at the terminal valve escape point in case of incontinence of the terminal valve. Both techniques are adequate to maintain the results of the disconnection/ligation over time, with the advantage of the conservation of the drainage of all the collateral veins of the SFJ. An ethics committee consultation has not been considered necessary, as the ligature of confluent veins has been used in clinical practice for years since the articles published by Trendelenburg14 until today.15

**Conclusions**

Crosstonomy is the saphenous-femoral disconnection technique of reference in the implementation of the CHIVA strategy. Crosstonomy is a refined surgical technique especially in overweight patients. The efficacy of the TSFL technique could be considered equal to that of crossotomy. The practical impact of TSFL is to provide a safe, reliable and durable mini-invasive open surgery for sapheno-femoral disconnection in outpatients, while TPL ligation is not reliable.

Saphenous-femoral recanalizations were asymptomatic in both TSFL and crossotomy groups, thanks to the draining effect of the spared saphena trunk, and consequently thanks to the quality of the re-entry point. Both crossotomy and TSFL showed no surgical complications. TSFL is easier and quicker than crossotomy because of the absence of vessel division. On the basis of what has been observed, both crossotomy and TSFL are suitable techniques for eliminating femoral-saphenous reflux at the terminal valve escape point in case of incontinence of the terminal valve. Both techniques are adequate to maintain the results of the disconnection/ligation over time, with the advantage of the conservation of the drainage of all the collateral veins of the SFJ. An ethics committee consultation has not been considered necessary, as the ligature of confluent veins has been used in clinical practice for years since the articles published by Trendelenburg14 until today.15
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**Figure 1.** Saphenous femoral disconnection with division on the left (crossotomy) and saphenous femoral multiple ligation without division on the right (TSFL).

**Figure 2.** Survival curves of crosstonomy (black continuous line), triple saphenous flush ligation (black dashed line) and triple polypropylene ligation (grey continuous line). Crosses are censored data.