Progressive or degressive compression pressure profile in patients with chronic venous disorders of the lower limb
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Abstract

Graduated compression devices are considered the standard care for management of venous and lymphatic disorders. Recently, compression devices exerting a pressure over the calf higher than over the ankle have been proved to be more effective than traditional graduated devices in increasing the impaired ejection fraction (EF) from the lower leg in patients with venous disease. Aim of this work is presenting an overview of the new concept on progressive compression, its potential benefits and limits. In different series of tests, the EF from the lower leg was assessed in 70 patients with severe reflux in the great saphenous vein (GSV). EF was measured by strain gauge plethysmography, in baseline conditions and after applying graduated compression devices or the new inversely graduated or progressive compression (PC) devices. The interface pressure was recorded, simultaneously with the EF, both in the gaiter area (B1 point) and at the calf (C point) in order to assess the compression pressure profile. EF, severely impaired in patients with GSV reflux, was increased by compression. So called PC devices (both PC elastic stocking and PC inelastic bandages) were significantly more effective than graduated compression in increasing the ejection fraction. The higher pressure on the calf the higher the EF improvement. Maintaining the same strong pressure over the calf by means of two progressive stockings and increasing the pressure only over the calf to restore a graduated compression didn’t improve the EF. To improve venous pumping function in the ambulant patient stronger compression of the calf is more effective than graduated compression. This can be explained by the higher amount of blood volume pooled in the calf veins.

Materials and Methods

In different series of experiments a total of 70 patients (29 males and 41 females; mean age of 53.1 years; range 37-70) affected by chronic venous disease were investigated without and with different compression devices. Clinically these patients corresponded to classes C2-C5 in the Clinical, Etiological, Anatomic and Pathophysiological classification (CEAP).

Inclusion criteria: all patients were affected by insufficiency of the great saphenous vein (GSV) with: terminal and pre-terminal valve incompetence; venous diameter in standing position 2 cm below the sapheno-femoral junction more than 1 cm; venous reflux time after manual compression of the calf, both at the junction of the GSV in the groin and 5 cm distally. Reflux time more than 1 second was considered to be pathological. Using a cross sectional view the diameter of the GSV was measured in the groin.

Exclusion criteria: patients with competent terminal and/or pre-terminal valves, with a venous diameter in the standing position 2 cm below the junction less than 1 cm, with a venous reflux time shorter than 3 seconds, those unable to perform the exercise reported in the protocol or with an ankle-brachial pressure index measured by Doppler higher than 0.8.

Venous reflux time shorter than 3 seconds, those unable to perform the exercise reported in the protocol or with an ankle-brachial pressure index measured by Doppler higher than 0.8.

Duplex investigation (Esaote MyLab 60; linear probe 7.5 to 10 MHz; Esaote s.p.a., Genoa, Italy) of the superficial and deep veins of the leg extremity was performed with the patient in the standing position for detecting venous reflux during Valsava’s maneuver and after manual compression of the calf, both at the junction of the GSV in the groin and 5 cm distally. Reflux time more than 1 second was considered to be pathological. Using a cross sectional view the diameter of the GSV was measured in the groin.

Compression devices

In different studies we used two different kinds of ready-made, knee length, elastic stockings and inelastic bandages.

All the compression devices were applied either to provide a standard graduated pressure profile or an inversely graduated or progressive pressure profile.

In the first study, a standard graduated elastic compression stocking (GECS) was compared with a progressive elastic compression stocking (PECS). Both elastic stockings exerted a pressure at the gaiter area between 15 and 25 mm Hg. The GECS provided a degressive pressure profile, with pressures which were 20% lower than at calf level (Progressive N’System, Pierre Fabre, Castres, France). The PECS (Pierre Fabre) exerted a lesser pressure at the ankle and an increasing pressure profile with pressures about 50% higher at calf level than that at the ankle.

In the second study an inelastic multilayer, multicomponent bandage made up with Rosidal K® on top of a padding layer consisting of Cellona® synthetic cotton and Mollelast Haft® (Lohmann&Rauscher, Rengsdorf, Germany), was wrapped on the lower leg from the base of the toe to the popliteal fossa. The standard application provided a higher pressure in the gaiter region and a decreasing pressure over the calf.
pressure towards the calf [graduated compression bandages (GCB)]. This bandage was compared with a bandage applied with higher pressures over the calf than over the distal leg thereby producing a negative pressure gradient [negative graduated compression bandages (NGCB)].

In the third study, one PECS was compared with two PECS donned over each other and, subsequently, with one additional conventional stocking covering only the gaiter area to restore a graduate pressure profile.

Interface pressure measurement

In all studies the pressure exerted by different compression devices was continuously measured using a validated device connected with a data logger by a special computer program (Picopress®, Microlabitalia, Padua, Italy). The pressure transducer consists of a flat plastic pressure probe (diameter 5 cm) filled with 2 mL of air for the pressure measurement. Fluctuations of pressure on this probe are transformed into electronic signals (Statham-element) that can be recorded continuously. Two probes were used to measure pressure simultaneously: one at the distal leg, about 12 cm proximal to the medial malleolus (B1 point, which is defined by the transition of the muscular part of the medial gastrocnemius into the tendinous part) and one proximally, at the maximal calf circumference (C point). Interface pressure was measured continuously in the supine and the standing position and during the exercise program. Static stiffness index was calculated by subtracting supine pressure from standing pressure.

Measurement of ejection fraction of the venous calf pump

Using strain-gauge plethysmography (Angioflow2; Microlabitalia), ejection fraction was assessed following the method described by Poelkens et al. An indium-gallium alloy gauge (diameter of 1 mm) is placed around the leg in the supine position 5 cm distally from the patella and immediately proximal to the upper border of the elastic stocking. After the calibration of the device, the investigation starts by elevating the examined leg in order to empty the veins and to record the minimal volume of the leg segment. After one minute the patient stands up and the volume increase of the leg segment encircled by the strain gauge probe, reflecting venous filling, is measured continuously. Venous volume (VV) is defined as the difference between empty and filled veins. During a standardized exercise (walking on spot with 20 steps in 20 seconds) the amount of blood that is expelled towards the heart [expelled volume (EV)] reflects the quality of the venous pump. Ejection fraction (%) is calculated according to the formula 100 × EVV. Using this method we were able to assess the hemodynamic efficacy of several compression products in a completely non-invasive way.

The experiments were carried out in baseline condition without compression and repeated with the compression stockings or the inelastic bandages.

In every circumstance the sequence of tests (without compression or with different types of compression) was randomized.

All tests on every patient were done on the same day with an interval of 15 min between each measurement. The measurements were performed 5 min after stocking or bandages application with the patient resting in the supine position in a quiet room with constant humidity and temperature.

Statistical analysis

In all the studies median values and interquartile ranges are given.

Non-parametric Friedman test with Dunn’s multiple comparisons were used to compare the repeated measurements of EF under different compression systems with the baseline. The Spearman rank test was taken as a non-parametric method for quantifying correlations. Differences with a P<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

The graphs and the statistically evaluations were generated by using Graph Pad Prism5 software (Graph Pad, San Diego, CA, USA).

Results

Ejection fraction

EF was always significantly increased by both elastic and inelastic compression devices. The so called negative graduated or progressive compression was significantly more effective than the graduated compression in increasing the ejection fraction, both when applied by means of elastic stockings and by inelastic bandages (Figure 1A). One elastic stocking is not able to restore the normal value of EF, which is higher than 60%, while two stockings over each other restore EF in its normal range (Figure 1B). The Spearman rank test was taken as a non-parametric method for quantifying correlations. Differences with a P<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

The graphs and the statistically evaluations were generated by using Graph Pad Prism5 software (Graph Pad, San Diego, CA, USA).

Figure 1. Ejection fraction in baseline conditions and with different compression devices. A) the low EF in baseline condition, increases with one GECs and increases significantly more with one PECS even if not EF was not restored into its normal range; B) the low EF in baseline conditions is restored into its by GCB, and even more with NGCB; C) one PECS increases EF, two PECS increases significantly more EF into its normal range; two PECS and a third stocking applied only on the gaiter area to restore a graduated pressure profile are not able to further increase EF.
a progressive to a graduated pressure profile doesn’t increase the ejection fraction (Figure 1C). In contrast to compression stockings inelastic bandages are able to normalize EF when applied with a graduated pressure profile. Inelastic bandages applied with a progressive pressure profile increase the EF significantly further, up to the range close to 90% (Figure 1B). The increase of EF is positively related to pressure and stiffness: increasing pressure and stiffness over the calf leads to progressively higher ejection fraction. The highest ejection fraction was recorded with inelastic bandages applied with a progressive pressure profile.

**Interface pressure**

In the first study comparing graduated and progressive elastic compression stockings, GECS exerted a higher pressure at ankle (median 22 mm Hg) and showed a decrease of the pressure by 14% at the calf (point C). PECS exerted a lower pressure at ankle level, median 18.5 mm Hg and showed a pressure increase by 57% at C (Figure 2A).

These pressures increased only slightly by standing up and during exercise. The highest pressures were recorded with PECS at C point in standing position (31.5 mm Hg), and during exercise (32 mm Hg).

Comparing graduated and negatively graduated compression bandages, GCB median supine interface pressure was 53.5 mm Hg at B1 and 37.5 mm Hg at C. With NGCB median pressure was 50 mm Hg at B1 and 62 mm Hg at C (Figure 2B).

Standing pressures were significantly higher than supine pressures, both at position B1 and at C (P<0.001) for both bandages. The greatest median pressure difference was in the calf area in standing position where GCB exerted 59 mmHg and NGCB 75.5 mmHg (P<0.001).

In the third study median compression pressure exerted by 2 superimposed stocking was significantly higher than with one stocking (33 vs 18 mm Hg in B1 and 46 vs 27 mm Hg in C) (Figure 2C). With 2 superimposed progressive stocking, maintaining the same pressure of 46 mm Hg over the calf, we added a third stocking applied only over the foot and ankle region which was rolled down in order not to compress the calf. This increased the pressure over the ankle from 33 to 56 mm Hg and restored a graduated pressure profile.

**Discussion**

Our data show that the higher the pressure over the calf and the higher the pressure peaks during walking, the grater the effect on venous pumping function: one PECS is more effective than one GECS, two PECS superimposed over each other are more effective than one PECS, NGCB is more effective than GCB. NGCB exerting the strongest pressure over the calf compared to other compression devices is the most effective modality. The reported data confirm that the pressure over the calf is mainly responsible for the venous pump improvement independently from the pressure gradient: maintaining the same pressure over the calf with a progressive pressure profile and increasing the pressure over the ankle to restore a graduated compression did not have any significant effect the venous pumping function.

In the standing position the intravenous hydrostatic pressure is maximal at foot and ankle level and progressively lower towards the upper leg and the thigh. In order to counteract this intravenous pressure profile the external pressure applied by compression stockings was postulated to be higher at ankle level with a continuous pressure reduction from distal to proximal. This **graded compression** is considered an important quality criterion for compression systems and a cornerstone of standard care in venous disease.

Actually pressure peaks of more than 150 mmHg recorded in the solely muscle during walking producing inverse intravenous pressure gradients with every step are a physiological phenomenon. In addition simultaneous measurements revealed that ambulatory venous pressure decreases more in the foot than in the calf veins, both in normal and patients with superficial venous incompetence demonstrating that a continuous intravenous pressure gradient is not a general physiological principle during walking.

An intravenous pressure which is higher at calf than at ankle level could theoretically interfere with venous return. This is obviously not the case due to the complex interplay of contraction and relaxation of different leg muscle which produces a sequence of compressions and distensions of the leg veins favoring the venous outflow from the leg. In fact the first two phases of walking, plantar dorsi-flexion and plantar leaning of the foot, empty the distal foot and ankle veins into the calf deep system; during the third phase, ankle plantar flexion, the calf muscle contraction squeezes out the blood pooled in the full calf veins even producing a very short phase of distal blood pooling which will be emptied with the following step. As a consequence there is not a higher calf pressure at the same time as a lower ankle pressure but a sequence of different pressures favoring the venous emptying of the leg.

Therefore, when applying compression devices in the ambulatory patient affected by...
Conclusions

Negatively graduated or progressive compression devices are significantly more effective than graduated compression in increasing ejection fraction up to restoring its normal range in patients with chronic venous disorders. Taking into account the reported experimental data a graduated pressure profile should not be considered as mandatory at least in ambulatory patients. At present time other objective hemodynamic data as well as clinical outcomes concerning treatment or prevention of other venous clinical conditions are not available and should be investigated in future trials.
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